

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,033	02/27/2004	Michael Bauer	I431.103.101/FIN 423 US 8344	
759	90 01/27/2006		EXAMINER	
Dicke, Billig & Czaja, PLLC Fifth Street Towers			SEFER, AHMED N	
	Street, Suite 2250		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2826	
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402			
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/789,033	BAUER ET AL.	(Avv)
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	A. Sefer	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
 THE REPLY FILED <u>22 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab	PR 47.31; or
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv</li> </ul>	f the final rejection.	TECHNOLOGY	CENTER 2000
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the			r is later. In no JU
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F ). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	RST REPLY WAS FILED  a) and the appropriate extension  final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a support of the Notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.
AMENDMENTS  3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)☑ They raise new issues that would require further co			pecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or	ow);	·	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	7 77	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	-		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The 1.131 declaration fails to establish due diligence regarding the invention of the subject matter of the rejected claim(s). Furthermore, the proposed new limitations in claims 4 and 5 require further consideration and /or search. Note that rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 102(e) can also be overcome by filing an English language translation of DE 10308855.5 and establishing that the priority document satisfies the enablement and description requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.